

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R1
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 8, Figure 4, "DH" diameter should be shown as a hidden line.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [X] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [X] MODIFY [] DISCUSS Surface represented by DH diameter is visible, however, figure 4 (continued) on page 9 has the "DH" diameter shown incorrectly. This will be shown as a solid line like figure 4 on page 8.				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R2
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 10, Table IV, remove nominals from dimensions CD, CG. These are basic dimensions that should fit easily in the figure 4.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [X] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY [] DISCUSS				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R3
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 11, "Color. See Table V." Table V does not specify the color.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [X] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [X] MODIFY [] DISCUSS Delete "Color: See Table V" and change "Finish: Shall..." to "Finish: See table V. Finish shall minimize reflections".				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R4
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 11, suggest specifying operating and non-operating temperature ranges.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY [X] DISCUSS Services, manufacturers and users, provide feedback (requirements and capabilities). Note: any epoxy utilized may limit the temperatures.				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R5
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 12, Table V, 2024 series aluminum should not be used. Tempers T3 and T4 are susceptible to exfoliation corrosion. Most Army programs prohibit this alloy. Recommend 6061 T6, 2024 as long as it is not T3 or T4 or metalized plastic (for EMI protection).				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [X] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [X] MODIFY [] DISCUSS Change Table V, column under "Material" all cells containing "2024-T3/T4" to 2024 as long as it is not T3 or T4 or metalized plastic (for EMI protection).				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R6
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [x] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 12, Table V, zinc nickel plating specification is not properly stated. Need type, etc. percentage of nickel alloy and thickness.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [x] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [x] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [x] MODIFY [] DISCUSS See original compilation of comments, comment numbers 40, 41 and 42 for insert cap and insert finish. Change Dust cover, Coupling nut and Connector body to: Alkaline electrolytic zinc-nickel (5-9%) alloy over electroless nickel followed by dark bronze chromate conversion coating per ASTM-2417, type 2. Nickel strike .00030 to .00035 thick, Zn-Ni plate .0003 to .0004 thick, bronze chromate approximately .6 micron thick.				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R7
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [x] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 12, yellow marking band should be removed, or add in option for Orange band. Industry standard uses yellow for single mode and orange for multimode.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [x] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [x] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY [] DISCUSS Present plans are for the termini to be interchangeable, consequently separate marking requirements for single mode and multimode are not practical.				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R8
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Page 13, insert retention and torque should not be "not applicable." A value must be specified.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [X] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [X] MODIFY [] DISCUSS Page 13, delete "Insert retention axial strength: Not applicable" (note when exception is not taken the test specified in the basic applies) and change Insert retention radial strength from "Not applicable" to "30 Inch-pounds".				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				

PROJECT NUMBER 6060-0153		COMPILATION OF COMMENTS		COMMENT NUMBER R9
DOCUMENT MIL-PRF-83526/16		COMMENTS IND NAME OR CODE: Raytheon		[] MFR [X] USER [] IND ASSOC
Is detachable insert intended to be interchangeable between suppliers? If so need more information on insert dimensioning and qualification requirements. Screw shows slotted head but this is not specified.				
DoD USE ONLY	DEPARTMENT:	[] A [] N [] AF [] DLA [] NSA [] CNDN [] NASA	[] ESSENTIAL [X] SUGGESTED	
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE (see reason) [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY [X] DISCUSS These items are being discussed as of the 29 June 2004 meeting. See original comments, comment number 7 concerning the screw head. Because of tool issues (hex wrench availability) and manufacturing cost, a non-slotted Phillips head screw is now being considered.				
FINAL DISPOSITION OF COMMENT: [] ACCEPTANCE [] NON-ACCEPTANCE [] WITHDRAW [] MODIFY				